IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF TEXAS AT DALLAS: 05-21-00242, 05-21-00373, 05, 27, 00360 LS ON APPEAL FROM THE 366TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT COUNTY, TEXAS TRIAL COURT CAUSE NOS. 366-53554-2020, 366-51795-2021, AND 366-50778-2021

AMENDED RESPONSE: ISSUES FOR APPEAL

05-21-00242

PART I: DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE

- A. THE MATTER OF THE MARRIAGE (1) Did the trial court misapply the law when it found that no informal marriage existed based on the agreement prong; and (2) Did the trial court err when it denied/declined to hear Appellant's claims to putative spousal rights?
- B. CASE DISMISSED (1) Did the trial court err when it dismissed the divorce and determined the informal marriage did not exist; and (2) did the trial court have jurisdiction to make subsequent rulings after it dismissed the divorce suit?

05-21-00242

PART II - SUIT AFFECTING PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP

A. PREJUDICED AND DUE PROCESSLESS – (1) Did the failure to serve requests for change in conservatorship on two different occasions violate

Appellant's due process rights; and (2) was the trial court prejudiced by Appellee's ex parte pleadings for change in conservatorship?

- B. PARENTAL RIGHTS (1) Were Appellant's parental rights de facto terminated when her visitation was restricted to a non-operational/non-enforceable visitation site, (2) when her visitation was conditioned on Appellee's approval, or (3) when precedent conditions were unaffordable and unperformable?
- C. EQUAL PROTECTION (1) Was Appellant deprived of equal protection rights when she had to fight pro se for her parental rights against Appellee when he had three attorneys representing him; and (2) did Appellant, MCM, and MAM receive equal protection of law when they were denied the same procedural safeguards provided in suits affecting the parent-child relationship filed by government entities?
- D. INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL (1) Did Appellant, MCM, and MAM lose substantive rights because of ineffective assistance of counsel; (2) did the trial court err when it denied attorney's fees and/or

sanctions; and (3) should the trial court have appointed counsel for Appellant and/or the children?

05-21-00373

APPELLEE'S PROTECTIVE ORDER AND PROTECTION FROM THE 2[™] AMENDMENT

(1) Did the trial court err when it granted Appellee a protective order against Appellant; (2) did Appellee's right to bear arms take precedent over Appellant's right to protection; (3) did the trial court err when it entered an internally conflicting protective order and (4) were Appellee's claims barred by res judicata?

05-21-00360

VEXATIOUS LITIGANT DESIGNATION

(1) Is the vexatious litigant statute facially invalid? (2) Is the vexatious litigant statute invalid as applied in this present case? (3) Did the trial court err when designating Appellant a vexatious litigant without allowing evidence submissions and arguments? (4) Did the vexatious litigant law violate Appellant's substantive rights when it infringed upon her parental rights and rights to appeal?

How can legal semantics sold to the highest bidder in a contentious divorce/custody suit ever justify unnecessary trauma from deprivation of the parent-child relationship? This is a Human Rights Issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Molly Wilkerson /Pro se

IsMolly Wilkerson

Missmolly2020@aol.com

Cc: Julia Pendery, via e-service

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 58884728

Status as of 11/5/2021 11:22 AM CST

Associated Case Party: MollyLWilkerson

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Molly Wilkerson		missmolly2020@aol.com	11/5/2021 8:14:07 AM	SENT

Associated Case Party: Mark Maldonado

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Gracen Daniel		gdaniel@cowlesthompson.com	11/5/2021 8:14:07 AM	SENT
Julia Pendery		jpendery@cowlesthompson.com	11/5/2021 8:14:07 AM	SENT